

# Local effectivity in projective spaces

Tomasz Szemberg

Pedagogical University of Cracow  
Department of Mathematics

**Asymptotic invariants of homogeneous ideals**

Oberwolfach, Sept. 30 - Oct. 6, 2018

<http://szemberg.up.krakow.pl/MFO2018.pdf>

# Schneider-Lang Theorem in one variable

## Theorem

*Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be meromorphic functions in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $f_1, f_2$  algebraically independent. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field. Assume that for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$*

$$f_j' \in \mathbb{K}[f_1, \dots, f_k].$$

*Then the set*

$$S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is not a pole of } f_j, f_j(z) \in \mathbb{K}, j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

*is finite.*

# Schneider-Lang Theorem in one variable

## Theorem

*Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be meromorphic functions in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $f_1, f_2$  algebraically independent. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field. Assume that for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$*

$$f_j' \in \mathbb{K}[f_1, \dots, f_k].$$

*Then the set*

$$S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is not a pole of } f_j, f_j(z) \in \mathbb{K}, j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

*is finite.*

## Corollary (Hermite-Lindemann)

*For  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$  at least one of the numbers  $\omega, \exp(\omega)$  is transcendental.*

# Schwarz Lemma in one variable

## Theorem

*Let  $f$  be an analytic function in a disc  $\{|z| \leq R\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  with at least  $N$  zeroes in a disc  $\{|z| \leq r\}$  with  $r < R$ . Then*

$$|f|_r \leq \left(\frac{3r}{R}\right)^N |f|_R,$$

*where*

$$|f|_\gamma = \sup_{|z| \leq \gamma} |f(z)|.$$

# Schneider-Lang Theorem in several variables

## Theorem (Bombieri 1970)

*Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be meromorphic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}$  algebraically independent. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field. Assume that for all  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, k$*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_j \in \mathbb{K}[f_1, \dots, f_k].$$

*Then the set*

$$S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \text{ is not a pole of } f_j, f_j(z) \in \mathbb{K}, j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

*is contained in an algebraic hypersurface.*

# Hörmander version of Schwarz lemma in several variables

## Theorem

*Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a finite set. Let  $m$  be a positive integer. There exists  $M(m) > 0$  such that there exists  $r > 0$  such that for  $R > r$  and a function  $f$  analytic in the ball  $\{|z| \leq R\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  vanishing with multiplicity  $\geq m$  at each point of  $S$*

$$|f|_r \leq \left( \frac{c(n) \cdot r}{R} \right)^{M(m)} |f|_R,$$

*where  $c(n)$  is a constant depending only on  $n$ .*

# Hörmander version of Schwarz lemma in several variables

## Theorem

*Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a finite set. Let  $m$  be a positive integer. There exists  $M(m) > 0$  such that there exists  $r > 0$  such that for  $R > r$  and a function  $f$  analytic in the ball  $\{|z| \leq R\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  vanishing with multiplicity  $\geq m$  at each point of  $S$*

$$|f|_r \leq \left( \frac{c(n) \cdot r}{R} \right)^{M(m)} |f|_R,$$

*where  $c(n)$  is a constant depending only on  $n$ .*

## Problem

*Make the statement effective. In particular: what is the maximal value of  $M(m)$ ?*

# Waldschmidt constant should be Moreau constant

## Problem

*Make the statement effective. In particular: what is the maximal value of  $M$ ?*

# Waldschmidt constant should be Moreau constant

## Problem

*Make the statement effective. In particular: what is the maximal value of  $M$ ?*

## Theorem (Moreau)

*Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a finite set. Let  $m$  be a positive integer. There exists  $r > 0$  such that for  $R > r$  and a function  $f$  analytic in the ball  $\{|z| \leq R\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  vanishing with multiplicity  $\geq m$  at each point of  $S$*

$$|f|_r \leq \left( \frac{\exp(n) \cdot r}{R} \right)^{\alpha(mS)} |f|_R,$$

*where  $\alpha(mS)$  is the initial degree of  $I_S^{(m)}$ .*

# Waldschmidt constant should be Moreau constant

## Problem

*Make the statement effective. In particular: what is the maximal value of  $M$ ?*

## Theorem (Moreau)

*Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a finite set. Let  $m$  be a positive integer. There exists  $r > 0$  such that for  $R > r$  and a function  $f$  analytic in the ball  $\{|z| \leq R\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  vanishing with multiplicity  $\geq m$  at each point of  $S$*

$$|f|_r \leq \left( \frac{\exp(n) \cdot r}{R} \right)^{\alpha(mS)} |f|_R,$$

*where  $\alpha(mS)$  is the initial degree of  $I_S^{(m)}$ .*

## Remark

The constant  $\alpha(mS)$  is optimal.

# Waldschmidt decomposition

## Definition (Waldschmidt decomposition in $\mathbb{P}^N$ )

Let  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and let  $Z$  be a subscheme in  $H$ . Let  $D$  be a divisor of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . The *Waldschmidt decomposition* of  $D$  with respect to  $H$  and  $Z$  is the sum of  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors

$$D = D' + \lambda \cdot H$$

such that  $\deg(D') = d - \lambda$ ,

$$\frac{d - \lambda}{\text{mult}_Z D'} \geq \hat{\alpha}(H; \mathcal{O}_H(1), Z) \quad (1)$$

and  $\lambda$  is the least non-negative real number such that (1) is satisfied.

# Lower bound on Waldschmidt constants

## Theorem (Dumnicki, Sz., Szpond)

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_s$  be  $s \geq 2$  mutually distinct hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . Let  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_s > 1$  be real numbers such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_1 - 1 > 0 \\ a_1 a_2 - a_1 - a_2 > 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 \dots a_{s-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} a_1 \dots \hat{a}_i \dots a_{s-1} > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$a_1 \dots a_s - \sum_{i=1}^s a_1 \dots \hat{a}_i \dots a_s \leq 0.$$

# Lower bound on Waldschmidt constants

## Theorem (Dumnicki, Sz., Szpond)

Let

$$Z_i = \{P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,r_i}\} \in H_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} H_j$$

be the set of  $r_i$  points such that

$$\hat{\alpha}(H_i; Z_i) \geq a_i$$

and let  $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^s Z_i$ . Finally, let

$$q := \frac{a_1 \dots a_{s-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} a_1 \dots \hat{a}_i \dots a_{s-1}}{a_1 \dots a_{s-1}} \cdot a_s + s - 1.$$

Then

$$\hat{\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^N; Z) \geq q.$$

# Proof ingredients

*We assume to the contrary that there is a divisor  $D$  of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  vanishing to order at least  $m$  at all points of  $Z$  such that*

$$p := \frac{d}{m} < q.$$

# Proof ingredients

*We assume to the contrary that there is a divisor  $D$  of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  vanishing to order at least  $m$  at all points of  $Z$  such that*

$$p := \frac{d}{m} < q.$$

*It is convenient to work with the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Gamma = \frac{1}{m}D$ , which is of degree  $p$  and has multiplicities at least 1 at every point of  $Z$ .*

# Proof ingredients

We assume to the contrary that there is a divisor  $D$  of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  vanishing to order at least  $m$  at all points of  $Z$  such that

$$p := \frac{d}{m} < q.$$

It is convenient to work with the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Gamma = \frac{1}{m}D$ , which is of degree  $p$  and has multiplicities at least 1 at every point of  $Z$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma' + \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i H_i$  be the Waldschmidt decomposition of  $\Gamma$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} p - \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i & \geq & a_1(1 - \lambda_1) \\ p - \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i & \geq & a_2(1 - \lambda_2) \\ & \vdots & \\ p - \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i & \geq & a_s(1 - \lambda_s) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \lambda_i = a_1(1 - \lambda_1) \\ p - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \lambda_i = a_2(1 - \lambda_2) \\ \vdots \\ p - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \lambda_i = a_{s-1}(1 - \lambda_{s-1}) \\ p - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \lambda_i \geq a_s \end{array} \right.$$

# Main result in an application oriented version

## Theorem

Let  $N \geq 2$ , let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. Assume that for some integers  $r_1, \dots, r_{k+1}$  and rational numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}$  we have

$$\hat{\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{N-1}; r_j) \geq a_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k+1,$$

$$k \leq a_j \leq k+1 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k, \quad a_1 > k, \quad a_{k+1} \leq k+1.$$

Then

$$\hat{\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^N; r_1 + \dots + r_{k+1}) \geq \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{a_j}\right) a_{k+1} + k.$$

## Proposition

*Let  $s$  be a positive integer and let  $k$  be an integer in the range  $1 \leq k \leq s$ . Let  $Z$  be a set of*

$$r \geq r_k = k(s+1)^{N-1} + (s+1-k)s^{N-1}$$

*very general points in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . Then*

$$\hat{\alpha}(Z) \geq s+1 - \frac{s+1-k}{s+1}.$$

## Proposition

*The Demailly Conjecture*

$$\hat{\alpha}(I) \geq \frac{\alpha(I^{(m)}) + N - 1}{m + N - 1}$$

*holds for  $s \geq m^N$  very general points in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .*

## Proposition

*The Demailly Conjecture*

$$\hat{\alpha}(I) \geq \frac{\alpha(I^{(m)}) + N - 1}{m + N - 1}$$

*holds for  $s \geq m^N$  very general points in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .*

## Remark

This improves an earlier result by Malara, Sz. and Szpond, that the Demailly Conjecture holds for  $s \geq (m + 1)^N$  very general points in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .